SOLVENT AND DEUTERIUM ISOTOPE EFFECTS ON THE LIFETIME OF SINGLET OXYGEN

DETERMINED BY DIRECT EMISSION SPECTROSCOPY AT **1** 27 pm

Titus A. Jenny and Nicholas J. Turro* Chemistry Department, Columbia University New York, New York 10027

ABSTRACT. The relative llfetlmes of singlet molecular oxygen have been evaluated, as a function of solvent and deuterium substitution, via measurement involving the direct emission of singlet oxygen at 1.27 um.

INTRODUCTION. An accurate value of the lifetime of singlet molecular oxygen $\binom{1}{\Delta_{\alpha}}$ in solution 1s of paramount Importance In the evaluation of the rate constants for reaction of this important reactive intermediate. Although data in the literature show general agreement for the llfetlme of singlet molecular oxygen In solvents for which the inherent lIfetime appears to be less than about 100 μ s, 1 there is considerable disagreement 2 , with respect to the reported values of the lifetime of singlet oxygen in solvents such as CC1_4 , CDC1_3 , $\text{C}_6\text{D}_5\text{CD}_3$ For example, IndIrect chemical methods for lifetime determlnatlon have generally led to shorter llfetlmes than methods based on direct analysis of the decay of the emlsslon of singlet oxygen **at 1.27 urn.** Recently, Foote⁴ reported rather large effects on solvent deuteration which imply longer lifetimes than previously reported in solvents such as $CDCl_3$, C_6D_6 , CD_3CN , and $(CD_3)_2CO$. Lifetimes approaching tens of ms have now been reported in certain solvents. The calculated radiative lifetime of singlet oxygen in the vapor phase⁵ implies an unreasonably low quantum yield (10^{-6}) for emission from singlet oxygen in solution. However, the recently estimated value of 4 s in CCl, 6 based on an absolute quantum yield of 4 x 10 $^{-3}$ removes this dilemma. Evidently, solvent collisions induce a much shorter inherent radiative lifetime for singlet oxygen in solution. However no significant variation of the radiative lifetime in different solvents has been found.⁶

We report here an investigation of the emission of singlet oxygen (1 27 µm) in a variety of organic solvents with the intention of determining by a direct spectroscopic method the relative lifetimes of singlet oxygen In these solvents The basis of the method 1s to start with a solvent in which the lifetime of singlet oxygen is very long and then to determine the singlet oxygen lifetimes as a function of added cosolvent.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION. The steady state method⁷ used in this work yields relative lifetimes of singlet oxygen. Typically, a 10^{-5} M stirred solution of a sensitizer (7H-benz[de]anthracen-7 one, phenalenone or fluorenone, Scheme I) in CCl_A was irradiated at 366 nm and the steady state

Fluorenone (2)

Scheme I Sensitizers Employed in this Study

2924

emission (I_0) at 1.27 μ m was recorded Addition of portions $(x, \text{ in fractions of the total})$ volume) of a different solvent caused an emission signal decrease It was found that after correction for O.D. changes, the Stern-Volmer kinetic law (Eqn. 1) was followed over the range rnvestlgated (up to 20% mixtures, typrcal plot shown In Frgure 1).

$$
I_0/I = \beta x + 1 \qquad \text{where } \beta = T_{\text{CC}} I_4^{T_{\text{solvent}}} \tag{1}
$$

The behavior of singlet oxygen in benzene was employed as a standard because in this solvent, the values reported for singlet oxygen lifetimes in the literature $^{\rm 8}$ differ only within the error limits $(25 + 3 \text{ }\mu\text{s})$ Eqn. 2 was employed to determine the values given in Table 1.

$$
r = \tau_{C_6 H_6} (\beta_{C_6 H_6} / \beta_{\text{solvent}})
$$
 (2)

For investigation of solvents in which singlet oxygen exhibits long lifetimes $(> 1 \text{ ms})$, a modified method was used, e.g., a small portion of a concentrated solution of the sensitizer in CC1₄, typically 10 µl, was diluted by 2.0 ml of a neat solvent and the corresponding emission signals were recorded. The results presented in Table 2 are relative quantum yields, and refer to the emission intensity obtained from a CCl₄ solution which was checked frequently in order to establish that fluctuations in the exciting light intensity, temperature of the probe and detector response were below the actual accuracy of the emission intensity determination.

The choice of the sensitizers used in this investigation was dictated by the experimental conditions of this work, which require high singlet oxygen concentrations 9 In the absence of quencher, numerous "well established" sensitizers for singlet oxygen generation such as Rose Bengal, Methylene Blue, and anthracene, for example, raprdly react, whereas benxophenone and benzophenone derivatives (although stable under the above conditions) produce a considerably lower emission signals compared to the sensitizers used in this investigation.

As the lifetime of a reactive intermediate such as singlet oxygen gets longer and longer, the measured experimental lifetime becomes critically dependent on the precise experimental conditions. For example, minor "impurities", which play no significant role in determining the lifetime when solvent deactivation is fast, can become lifetime controlling Thus, the ground state of the sensitizer used to produce singlet oxygen, long-lived excited states or products derived from photoexcitation of the sensitizer, ground state triplet oxygen and singlet oxygen itself may become "impurities" that determine the measured lifetime. In spite of these technical problems, we believe that our method validly measures solvent properties rather than impurity properties because no change of the emission signal with time is observed; $^{\rm 1C}$ l-4 secondly, the llfetlmes obtarned In thus work are consrderably longer than previously reported: and furthermore, the light intensity employed¹³ yielded, at the low sensitizer concentrations used in this study $(\sim 10^{-5}$ M), steady state singlet oxygen concentrations which have been established by time resolved measurements 14 to lie well below the limit at which singlet oxygen is deactivated by bimolecular mechanisms.

Several theories have been presented to rationalize the different lifetimes of singlet oxygen in various solvents. $B_c,11,15$ uenching of singlet oxygen by organic solvents is much less efficient than the specific quenching by chemical or physical quenchers (Table 1)

Solvent ^(a)	Lifetime τ (μ s)		k_q in CCl ₄ ($M^{-1} s^{-1}$)		$\tau_{\rm n}/\tau_{\rm H}$	Lit. (D/H)
	н	D	н	D		
Chloroform	$160 + 6$	$3600 + 150$	$500 + 20$	$22 + 1$	$22.5 + 1$	10 ± 1^{4}
Methylenechloride	$59 + 6$	$120 + 10$	$1100 + 100$	$530 + 40$	$2.0 + .5$	
Acetone	$39 + 1$	$770 + 100$	$1880 + 50$	$95 + 12$	$19.7 + 3$	18 ± 2^{4}
Acetonitrile	$58 + 3$	$950 + 70$	$900 + 50$	$55 + 4$	$16.4 + 2$	$8 + 1$ ⁴
Benzene	$25^{(b)}$	$680 + 30$	$3600 + 300$	$131 + 6$	$27.2 + 1$	16 ± 1 ⁴
Toluene	$27 + 2$	$310 + 20$	$3900 + 300$	$340 + 20$	$115 + 1$	11 \pm 1.5 ³
Cyclohexane	$17 + 2$	$320 + 30$ \circ	$6350 + 800$ 340 + 30		$18.1 + 3$	

Table 1. Lifetimes and Deuterium Effects of Singlet Oxygen in Organic Solvents.

(a) Air saturated at 25°C, (b) Literature⁸ value, taken as reference.

Table 2. Lifetimes of Singlet Oxygen in Halogenated Organic Solvents.

Solvent ^(a)	Ccl_4^{0}	τ (ms)	$L_{1}t.$ (ms)
$cc1_{\Lambda}$		$31 \pm 2^{(b)}$	26 ± 1^{2}
$\text{ccl}_2\text{F}\text{cclr}_2$	$74 + 1$	$42 + 4$	$2.3^{\frac{1}{2}}$
C_6F_6	$3.3 + .2$	9.4 \pm .3	$.59^{-1}$
$(C_2F_5)_{2}$ ^O	$28 + 3$	$1.1 + .1$	

(a) Air saturated at 25° C; (b) Referred to lifetime in benzene.

Figure 1. Singlet Oxygen Emission (1.27 μ m) in CCl₄ Quenched by CHCl₃.

Although this partial correlation^{11,15a} is intriguing and somewhat puzzling, it suggests that an electronic to vibronic coupled transition is involved in the quenching mechanism of singlet oxygen for rate constants $k \nvert q \nvert 10^\circ S$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Air Force Offlce of Sclentlflc Research and the IBM Corporation for their generous support of this work T.A.J. thanks the Schwelserlscher Natlonalfonds sur Foederung der Wlssenschaftllchen Forschung for a fellowshlp during 1981. They also thank Professors Gary Schuster and C.S. Foote for communicating their results on time-resolved measurements prior to publication.

REFERENCES

- 1. F. Wilkinson and J.G. Brummer, <u>J. Phys. and Chem. Reference Data</u>, in press.
- 2. A.A. Krasnovsky, Photochem Photoblol., 29, 29 (1979).
- 3. K.I. Salokhiddinov, I M. Buteva, and B.M. <u>Dzhagarov, Opt Spektrosk</u>., <u>47</u>, 881 (1979).
- 4.
- 5. P.R. Ogilby and C S. Foote, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc</u>., <u>103</u>, 1219 (1981).
R.M. Badger, A C. Wright, and R.F. Whitlock, <u>J. Chem. Phys</u> , <u>43</u>, 4345 (1965).
- 6. A.A. Krasnovsky, Chem. Phys. Lett., 81, 443 (1981)
- 7. The detection system consisted of a light chopper, a silicon disk (cutoff \leq 1000 nm), an interference filter (λ_{ρ} = 1269 nm, half bandwidth 20 nm), and a room temperature PbS detector (4 mm). The signal was fed into a lock-in amplifier and displayed on a strip chart recorder.
- 8. (a) P.B Merkel and D.R. Kearns, J. Am. Chem Soc , 94, 1029 (1972).
	- (b) A. Farmilo and F. Wilkinson, Photochem. Photobiol., 18, 447 (1973).
		- (c) R H Young and D.R Brewer, "Singlet Oxygen, ReactIons with Organic Compounds & Polymers", B Ranby and J.F. Rabek (eds) John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1976, p. 36-47. (d) A.A. Gorman, G. Lovering and M.A.J Rodgers, J. Am Chem. Soc , 100, 4527 (1978).
		- (e) F. WIlkInson and W.-T. Ho, Spectrosc. Lett., 11, 455 (1978).
- 9. Steady-state concentrations of singlet oxygen as high as 10^{-9} M could be readily achieved in CL_4 . The response of the detector system was calibrated with the emission from singlet oxygen formed during thermal decomposition of 1,4-dlhydro-1,4-dlmethylnaphthalene-1,4 endoperoxide in \texttt{CCl}_4 , using the known yield of singlet oxygen and the decomposition rate. (See ref. 12 below)
- 10. In solvents in which singlet oxygen exhibits a long lifetime (>10 ms) even 1,4-diazobicyclo-[2.2 Zloctan **IDABCO),** a widely used physical quencher for singlet oxygen, reacts lrreverslbly.
- 11. P.B. Merkel and D R. Kearns, J. Am. Chem. Soc , 94, 7244 (1972)
- 12. N.J Turro, $M F$ Chow, and J Rigaudy, J. Am Chem Soc., 103 , 7218 (1981).
- 13 The light of a 1000 W Xe/Hg high pressure lamp was put through a high intensity monochro $meter$ (bandwidth = 12 nm)
- 14. Time resolved emission measurements following laser excitation of the same sensitizers (1 to 3) at 355 nm in all solvents with $\tau > 500$ us confirmed the reported lifetimes For example, the lifetime of ${}^L_{{O_2}}$ in CDCl₃ extrapolated to zero laser intensity is 3600 \pm 300 μ s The number from the steady state method is 3600 \pm 150 (Table 1) Furthermore, our values are In good agreement with the llfetlmes recently reported and measured by a time-resolved methods. (See reference 15 below) However, a laser power dependence of the llfetlmes was found as well as second order contrlbutlons at laser powers > 1 mJ
- 15. (a) G Peters and M.A J Rodgers, <u>J. Am. Chem Soc</u>., 103, 6759 (1981) (b) K.I. Salokhiddinov, I M. Byteva, and G.P Gurinovich, Zh. Prikl Spektrosk, 35, 892 (1981) CA, 95_, 32850e (1981)

(Received in USA 5 November 1981)